Observation of Efimov Resonances in a Mixture with Extreme Mass Imbalance

R. Pires,[1,*] J. Ulmanis,[1,*] S. Häfner,[1] M. Repp,[1] A. Arias,[1] E.D. Kuhnle,[1] and M. Weidemüller[1,2,†]

[1] *Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 226, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

[2] *Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China*

(Dated: March 31, 2014)

We observe two consecutive heteronuclear Efimov resonances in an ultracold Li-Cs mixture by measuring three-body loss coefficients as a function of magnetic field near a Feshbach resonance. The first resonance is detected at a scattering length of $a_-^{(1)} = -320(10)\ a_0$ corresponding to $\sim 7 (\sim 3)$ times the Li-Cs (Cs-Cs) van der Waals range. The second resonance appears at $5.8(1.0)\ a_-^{(1)}$ close to the unitarity-limited regime at the sample temperature of 450 nK. Indication of a third resonance is found in the atom loss spectra. The scaling of the resonance positions is close to the universal scaling value of 4.9 predicted for zero temperature. Deviations from universality might be caused by finite-range and temperature effects, as well as magnetic field dependent Cs-Cs interactions.

arXiv:1403.7246v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 27 Mar 2014

The control of interactions in ultracold atomic systems via magnetically tunable Feshbach resonances opens up new pathways for the investigation of few- and many-body physics [1]. One intriguing example is the access to the universal regime, which is characterized by a magnitude of the scattering length a that exceeds all other length scales of the system. In the limit of at least two resonant pairwise interactions, an infinite series of three-body bound-states, the so called Efimov states, exists [2–4]. Counterintuitively, these trimers persist even for $a < 0$, where the two body potential does not support a bound-state. The ratio between two subsequent trimer energies follows a discrete scale invariance with a universal scaling factor of $\exp(-2\pi/s_0)$. Here, s_0 only depends on the quantum statistics of the constituent atoms, their mass ratio, and the number of resonant interactions [3, 5]. This scale invariance also reflects in those values of a, where the energy of the bound-states coincides with the threshold of three free atoms for $a < 0$, resulting in an enhanced three-body loss. When the position of the first resonance is given by $a_-^{(1)}$, the $N-$th excited state is found at the scattering length $a_-^{(N)} = a_-^{(1)}\exp(\pi N/s_0)$. It has been shown that for homonuclear systems $a_-^{(1)}$ only depends on the characteristic range r_0 of the interatomic van der Waals potential [6–10]. The universal scaling factor acquires a value of 22.7 for equal mass constituents and features a drastic reduction in heteronuclear mass-imbalanced systems of two heavy and one light particle [3, 5], resulting e.g. in a factor of 4.9 for a ^{6}Li-^{133}Cs mixture.

In ultracold atom experiments, Efimov resonances become evident in the three-body loss coefficient L_3 in the rate equation for atom loss $\dot{n} = -L_3 n^3$. Here, n denotes the number density of atoms, and $L_3 \propto C(a)a^4$. The Efimov physics are contained in the dimensionless, log-periodic function $C(a)$. Thus far, Efimov resonances have been explored in several equal mass systems [6, 7, 11–17], where the scaling between different resonances is predicted to follow $C(a) = C(22.7a)$. This large scaling factor demands regimes of temperature and magnetic field control which makes the observation of an excited Efimov state highly involved. There had been indication of such an excited state in a three-component Fermi gas of ^{6}Li atoms [18] exhibiting the same scaling as equal mass bosons [19]. For bosonic ^{7}Li, the observation of an excited Efimov state appears to be feasible [14, 20, 21]. In heteronuclear systems, only the K-Rb mixtures have been investigated so far [22, 23], where a scaling factor of ~ 131 obstructs the observation of an excited Efimov state. The ^{6}Li-^{133}Cs system is ideal for the investigation of a series of Efimov resonances, because the predicted scaling factor of 4.9 [3, 5] allows for the detection of several Efimov bound-states withinin the range of experimentally available scattering lengths [24, 25].

In this Letter we present the observation of two consecutive Efimov resonances near the broad Feshbach resonance at 843 G in the energetically lowest ^{6}Li-^{133}Cs channel via measurements of the three-body loss coefficient. The assigned scattering lengths $a_-^{(1)} = -320(10)\ a_0$ and $a_-^{(2)} = -1871(388)\ a_0$ yield a ratio of $a_-^{(2)}/a_-^{(1)} = 5.8(1.0)$, which is consistent with modeled estimates for two consecutive bound-states [3, 5]. However, our analysis shows that the first excited Efimov state is already close to the unitarity limit, which leads to broadening of the resonant feature in the three-body loss spectrum and might additionally cause shifts of its position. We observe a further loss feature close to the anticipated position of the doubly excited Efimov state deep in the unitarity-limited regime.

Our experimental setup is similar to the one presented in Ref. [24]. In brief, we load Cs into a crossed optical dipole trap (reservoir trap) at a wavelength of 1064 nm with $1/e^2$ beam waists of 300 μm and a crossing angle of 90°. We perform degenerate Raman sideband cooling [26] in order to prepare the majority of the atoms in the energetically lowest $|F = 3, m_F = 3\rangle$ spin state. Here, F denotes the total atomic spin, and m_F its projection. The Li atoms in the ground state $|F = 2\rangle$ manifold are loaded

into another crossed dipole trap (dimple trap) with a wavelength of 1070 nm, $1/e^2$ beams waists of 62 μm, and a crossing angle of $\sim 8°$, located 1 mm away from the reservoir trap. After evaporating both species separately, they are combined via a piezo driven mirror and the reservoir trap is subsequently turned off in a sufficiently slow ramp. In the final evaporation step we sympathetically cool Cs close to a Li$|1/2,-1/2\rangle \oplus$ Cs $|3,3\rangle$ Feshbach resonance [24, 25] at 943 G, which expels all atoms in the Li$|1/2,-1/2\rangle$ state from the trap. Finally, 1.6×10^4 (4×10^4) atoms remain in the Cs $|3,3\rangle$ (Li$|1/2,1/2\rangle$) state. We measure trap frequencies $\omega_x,\omega_y,\omega_z$ of 114 Hz $\times$ 123 Hz $\times$ 11 Hz (275 Hz $\times$ 308 Hz $\times$ 33 Hz) and temperatures around 400 nK for both species. The magnetic fields are calibrated via microwave spectroscopy of Li, where we estimate a residual atomic field gradient of less than 30 mG along the long axis of the cigar shaped trap. Day to day drifts on the order of 20 mG add to the systematic uncertainty of the magnetic fields.

For the measurement of Efimov resonances, we ramp the field from the evaporation value to selected values near the broad Feshbach resonance at 843 G [24] and observe the Cs and Li atom number after a variable hold time by standard absorption imaging [27]. The results of our measurements are summarized in Fig. 1. The remaining number of Cs atoms for a randomized scan with a hold time of 1 s is depicted in Fig. 1(b). As the increasing scattering length leads to fast losses closer to the Feshbach resonance, we perform a similar scan with a reduced hold time of 400 ms for the region closer to the Feshbach resonance, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Besides rising three-body losses connected to the increasing value of the interspecies scattering length, we observe two clear modifications of the Cs loss. Fitting Gaussian profiles with linear background yields $B_1 = 849.12(6)_{\rm stat}(3)_{\rm sys}$ G and $B_2 = 843.89(1)_{\rm stat}(3)_{\rm sys}$ G for the positions of the resonances, where the first error denotes the statistic uncertainty of the fit and the second error results from the systematic uncertainty of the absolute magnetic field value. In the following discussion we identify these as Efimov resonances. We also detect a slight modulation of the atomic losses at a field of $B_3 = 843.03(5)_{\rm stat}(3)_{\rm sys}$ G, where the first error contains the width of the Gaussian profile. The loss feature, which might indicate a third resonance, is depicted in the inset in Fig. 1(a).

Under the experimental conditions of Fig. 1(a) and (b), features in the Li loss signal are too small to be discerned from fluctuations due to experimental instabilities in the Li atom number. However, lowering the Li atom number, which increases the fraction of lost atoms, recovers the Efimov resonances at consistent magnetic fields, as depicted in Fig. 1(c) and (d).

As Efimov resonances unambiguously manifest in modulations of the three-body loss coefficient, this parameter is measured by observing the time dependent atom losses for various magnetic fields. The dimple trap power is



FIG. 1. Magnetic field dependent atom loss measurements of the Li-Cs mixture at a temperature of 400 nK. The Cs atom number after a hold time of 400 ms (a) and 1 s (b) is illustrated. The inset in (a) is a zoom into the region where a third loss feature can be seen. The Li atoms show resonances at consistent positions after a hold time of 1.2 s (c) and (d), when the initial Li atom number is reduced by a factor of two as compared to the measurements in (a) and (b). Each of the values is the mean of at least six independent measurements, and the error bars represent the statistical error. The dashed vertical lines indicate the position of the Efimov resonances. The scattering length scale has been assigned via radio frequency association of universal dimers (see text).

ramped up by about 10%, in order to increase the ratio U_0/k_BT of trap depth U_0 to temperature T. This reduces anti-evaporation and heating losses [28] caused by three-body recombination, but also increases the temperature to $\sim$ 450 nK. At the start of the measurement we prepare a mixture of 2×10^4 (3×10^4) Cs (Li) atoms. After typical hold times on the order of a few seconds we lose nearly all Cs atoms, while the number of Li atoms reduces only by approximately 30%. The temperature of the mixture remains unaffected within the uncertainties of our temperature determination ($\sim$ 15%).

The evolution of $n_{\rm Cs}$ is given by the rate equation

$$\dot{n}_{\rm Cs} = -L_1^{\rm Cs} n_{\rm Cs} - L_3^{\rm LiCsCs} n_{\rm Li} n_{\rm Cs}^2 - L_3^{\rm Cs} n_{\rm Cs}^3. \qquad (1)$$

Here, $L_1^{\rm Cs}, L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$ and $L_3^{\rm Cs}$ are the loss coefficients for Cs background collisions, Li+Cs +Cs three-body collisions, and Cs +Cs +Cs three-body collisions, respectively. The inter- and intraspecies two-body losses are ignored in Eq. (1), because the atoms are in the energetically lowest states and thus only exhibit elastic collisions. Under the conditions of the experiment the temperature depen-

dence of the three-body loss coefficients [20] and the densities can be neglected, and $n_{\rm Li}$ can be assumed constant to a good approximation.

The analysis shows that the Cs atom loss curves are described by Li+Cs +Cs and Cs +Cs +Cs losses, while a description via Li+Li+Cs and Cs +Cs +Cs loss rates does not reproduce the shape of the loss curves. This observation verifies that Li+Li+Cs three-body losses are indeed strongly suppressed due to Fermi statistics and confirms that the observed Efimov resonances originate from the Li+Cs +Cs channel, which is the only channel for this mixture that is predicted to support universal three-body bound states [3, 5]. This is also reflected in the loss ratio of Li to Cs atom numbers of $\sim$ 1:2.

In order to extract the loss rates from our measurements, we integrate Eq. (1) over the spatial coordinates and fit the solution to the time dependent Cs atom number loss curves, where $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$ is the only fitting parameter. $L_1^{\rm Cs}$ and $L_3^{\rm Cs}$ are obtained from single species measurements, and therefore do not enter as fitting parameters. We note that a close-by intraspecies Efimov resonance in Cs [29] at 853 G does not influence determination of the Li-Cs Efimov resonances, since $L_3^{\rm Cs}$ is approximately constant in its direct vicinity and changes only in the region $a_{\rm LiCs} > -250\ a_0$.

The resulting three-body loss coefficient $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$ is depicted in Fig. 2. We estimate that the systematic error for the absolute value of $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$ is on the order of 80%, due to uncertainties in measured atom numbers, temperatures, and trap frequencies. Additionally, the gravitational sag reduces the spatial overlap of the atomic cloud, which is not explicitly included in our model. We estimate that this effect reduces the spatial integral over the densities in Eq. (1) by $\sim$ 20%. Day to day drifts in the beam pointing of the dimple trap might cause fluctuations of the overlap.

The history of the experimental investigations of Efimov resonances has shown that a precise determination of the field dependent scattering length $a(B)$ is essential for a quantitative analysis. In particular the exact value of the scattering pole B_0, where $|a|$ diverges, plays a crucial role. We measure this value via radio frequency spectroscopy of universal dimers, which yields $B_0 = 842.90(20)$ G and $\Delta B = 61.4(7)$ G for the resonance position and width, respectively. These values are in excellent agreement with an extensive study of Li-Cs Feshbach resonances via three different models [32]. Inserting these results into the relation

$$a(B) = a_{bg}\left(\frac{\Delta B}{B - B_0} + 1\right) \qquad (2)$$

(cf. [33]) allows us to determine the abscissa in Fig. 2, where a_{bg} is taken from Ref. [24].

We observe two distinct resonances of $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$, which are the cause of the enhanced atom losses in Fig. 1. As expected, for large values of the scattering length the loss rate is limited by unitarity, which is indicated by the green dash-dotted line in Fig. 2 [31].



FIG. 2. (a)Three-body loss coefficient $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$. The squares show the mean of three $L_3^{\rm LiCsCs}$ measurements. The dashed vertical lines indicate the resonance positions. The red solid line shows the fit to a zero-range model to the first Efimov resonance yielding $\eta_* = 0.24$ and $C = 3.5$ in Eq.(25) of Ref. [30]. The unitarity limit is indicated by a green dash-dotted line [31]. (b) Zero temperature model [30] for the predicted (blue dashed line [3, 5]) and observed (red solid line) scaling with the same parameters as in (a).

The position of the resonances is determined via a fit of a Gaussian profile with linear background, which results in $B_1 = 848.90(6)_{\rm stat}(3)_{\rm sys}$ G and $B_2 = 843.85(1)_{\rm stat}(3)_{\rm sys}$ G. Using Eq. (2), we assign the scattering lengths $a_-^{(1)} = -320(3)_{\rm stat}(2)_{\rm sys}(10)_{\rm rf}\ a_0$ and $a_-^{(2)} = -1871(19)_{\rm stat}(58)_{\rm sys}(388)_{\rm rf}\ a_0$ for the Efimov resonance positions, where the last error accounts for the uncertainties of Feshbach resonance position and width resulting as extracted from the radio frequency spectroscopy. All errors are obtained by error propagation of Eq. (2). The obtained resonance positions are consistent with the model in Ref. [34], when including the proper Cs scattering length at the position of the Li-Cs Feshbach resonance [35].

For the scaling between the first and second Efimov resonance position, we obtain $a_-^{(2)}/a_-^{(1)} = 5.8(1)_{\rm stat}(2)_{\rm sys}(1.0)_{\rm rf}$. This value is close to the predicted scaling of 4.9 [3, 5] for a zero temperature gas in the resonant limit ($|a| \gg r_0$). We illustrate the difference between the predicted and observed scaling in Fig. 2(b), where the theoretical three-body loss coefficient from a zero-range model is depicted (Eq.(25) in Ref. [30]).

The assumption of universal behavior is not strictly justified for the first resonance, since $a_-^{(1)}$ is only a factor of ~ 7 (~ 3) larger than the Li-Cs (Cs-Cs) van der Waals length $r_0^{\mathrm{LiCs}} = 45\ a_0$ ($r_0^{\mathrm{Cs}} = 101\ a_0$), resulting in the necessity to include finite range corrections. The second resonance is already influenced by the unitarity limit at the temperatures achievable in the current experiment, which leads to a broadening of the resonance feature and might also cause additional shifts. In addition, the fact that the Cs intraspecies scattering length varies between -1200 a_0 at B_1 and -1500 a_0 at B_2 [29] has not yet been included into theoretical models. Its effect on the resonance positions requires further investigation.

The position of the third resonance is indicated by a modulation of the atom losses at $B_3 = 843.03(5)_{\mathrm{stat}}(3)_{\mathrm{sys}}$ G in Fig. 1. It is obvious that this resonance falls into the unitarity dominated regime for our experimental conditions. This impedes conclusions regarding the universal scaling of this feature, as the scattering length is on the order of the thermal wavelength, and therefore not the dominating length scale in this regime.

After having found clear evidence for consecutive Efimov resonances in the loss rate coefficient of a mixture with large mass imbalance, the next sensible experimental step is the creation of a Li-Cs mixture at significantly lower temperatures. The unitarity-limited regime will be pushed towards larger values of the scattering length, and the resonances should become narrower and exhibit smaller shifts. In the current experiment, the gravitational sag due to the large mass of Cs leads to a separation of the atom clouds, which limits the lowest achievable temperatures of the mixture. Therefore, a dedicated engineering of trap potentials with species selective trapping forces [36] is required to overcome this limitation. Based on a zero-range model [30] and calculations by C. Greene et al. [35] we estimate that temperatures on the order of 30 nK result in a unitarity-limited three-body loss coefficient of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-19}\mathrm{cm}^6/s$, which is more than two orders of magnitude larger than the current limit. In order to avoid the influence of the unitarity limit on the third resonance, one would actually require temperatures on the order of ~ 1 nK. Extending the model presented in Ref. [20] to the case of heteronuclear mixtures, however, might allow for a detailed analysis of the influence of unitarity even at higher temperatures. An intriguing perspective is the study of finite size effects on the Efimov trimers, as the size of the doubly excited Efimov trimer of $\sim 0.2\ \mu$m is of the same order as the oscillator length of the trapping potential used in the present experiments. A second major improvement over the current investigations will be a more precise determination of the magnetic field scattering pole B_0 in order to reduce the uncertainties in the assignment of scattering lengths to the observed resonances. Here, we expect an improvement by an order of magnitude by performing additional systematic spectroscopy on the binding of the universal dimer. A more accurate determination of the resonance positions would shed new light onto application of universal few-body theories to mixed systems with large mass imbalance addressing, e.g., the question to which extend the position of the first resonances also features universal scaling as recently found in homonuclear systems [6, 7].

Note: A recent preprint [37] reports the observation of two consecutive Efimov resonances in the system of three Cs atoms. Atomic loss features in Li-Cs under comparable experimental conditions are presented in the preprint [38]. Although the resonances occur at magnetic fields agreeing reasonably well with our measurements, the claim of finding the universal scaling at the temperatures probed cannot be confirmed by our analysis of the three-body loss-rate coefficients.

This work is supported in part by the Heidelberg Center for Quantum Dynamics. R.P. and S.H. acknowledge support by the IMPRS-QD and J.U. by the DAAD. We thank R. Heck, A. Schönhals and C. Renner for contributions to the experimental apparatus. We are grateful to C. Salomon, R. Grimm and S. Jochim for fruitful discussions, and E. Tiemann, J. Wang, J. D'Incao and C. Greene for insightful discussions and sharing their calculations with us.

* Both authors contributed equally to this work.
† weidemueller@uni-heidelberg.de

[1] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).
[2] V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **12**, 589 (1971).
[3] E. Braaten and H.-W. Hammer, Physics Reports **428**, 259 (2006).
[4] N. T. Zinner and A. S. Jensen, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics **40**, 053101 (2013).
[5] J. P. D'Incao and B. D. Esry, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 030703 (2006).
[6] M. Berninger, A. Zenesini, B. Huang, W. Harm, H.-C. Nägerl, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 120401 (2011).
[7] S. Roy, M. Landini, A. Trenkwalder, G. Semeghini, G. Spagnolli, A. Simoni, M. Fattori, M. Inguscio, and G. Modugno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 053202 (2013).
[8] J. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, B. D. Esry, and C. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 263001 (2012).
[9] P. K. Sørensen, D. V. Fedorov, A. S. Jensen, and N. T. Zinner, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 052516 (2012).
[10] R. Schmidt, S. Rath, and W. Zwerger, The European Physical Journal B **85**, 1 (2012).
[11] T. Kraemer, M. Mark, P. Waldburger, J. G. Danzl, C. Chin, B. Engeser, A. D. Lange, K. Pilch, A. Jaakkola, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Nature **440**, 315 (2006).
[12] N. Gross, Z. Shotan, S. Kokkelmans, and L. Khaykovich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 163202 (2009).
[13] T. B. Ottenstein, T. Lompe, M. Kohnen, A. N. Wenz, and S. Jochim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 203202 (2008).
[14] S. E. Pollack, D. Dries, and R. G. Hulet, Science **326**,

1683 (2009).
[15] R. J. Wild, P. Makotyn, J. M. Pino, E. A. Cornell, and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 145305 (2012).
[16] J. H. Huckans, J. R. Williams, E. L. Hazlett, R. W. Stites, and K. M. O'Hara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 165302 (2009).
[17] M. Zaccanti, B. Deissler, C. DErrico, M. Fattori, M. Jona-Lasinio, S. Müller, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, and G. Modugno, Nature Physics **5**, 586 (2009).
[18] J. R. Williams, E. L. Hazlett, J. H. Huckans, R. W. Stites, Y. Zhang, and K. M. O'Hara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 130404 (2009).
[19] E. Braaten, H. W. Hammer, D. Kang, and L. Platter, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 013605 (2010).
[20] B. S. Rem, A. T. Grier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, U. Eismann, T. Langen, N. Navon, L. Khaykovich, F. Werner, D. S. Petrov, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 163202 (2013).
[21] P. Dyke, S. E. Pollack, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 023625 (2013).
[22] G. Barontini, C. Weber, F. Rabatti, J. Catani, G. Thalhammer, M. Inguscio, and F. Minardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 043201 (2009).
[23] R. S. Bloom, M.-G. Hu, T. D. Cumby, and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 105301 (2013).
[24] M. Repp, R. Pires, J. Ulmanis, R. Heck, E. D. Kuhnle, M. Weidemüller, and E. Tiemann, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 010701 (2013).
[25] S.-K. Tung, C. Parker, J. Johansen, C. Chin, Y. Wang, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 010702 (2013).
[26] P. Treutlein, K. Y. Chung, and S. Chu, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 051401 (2001).
[27] W. Ketterle, D. S. Durfee, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, in *Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi"*, Course CXL, edited by S. S. M. Inguscio and C. Wieman (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1999) pp. 67–176, arXiv:cond-mat/9904034.
[28] T. Weber, J. Herbig, M. Mark, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 123201 (2003).
[29] M. Berninger, A. Zenesini, B. Huang, W. Harm, H.-C. Nägerl, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 032517 (2013).
[30] K. Helfrich, H.-W. Hammer, and D. S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 042715 (2010).
[31] J. P. D'Incao and C. H. Greene, Private Communication.
[32] R. Pires, M. Repp, J. Ulmanis, E. Kuhnle, M. Weidemüller, T. Tiecke, C. Greene, B. P. Ruzic, J. L. Bohn, and E. Tiemann, "Analyzing Feshbach Resonances - A Li Cs Case Study," To be submitted.
[33] A. J. Moerdijk, B. J. Verhaar, and A. Axelsson, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 4852 (1995).
[34] Y. Wang, J. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, and C. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 243201 (2012).
[35] J. Wang, J. D'Incao, and C. Greene, Private Communication.
[36] L. J. LeBlanc and J. H. Thywissen, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 053612 (2007).
[37] B. Huang, L. A. Sidorenkov, R. Grimm, and J. M. Hutson, arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.6161 (2014).
[38] S.-K. Tung, K. Jimenez-Garcia, J. Johansen, C. V. Parker, and C. Chin, arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.5943 (2014).